

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/719,443	SUBRAMONEY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Srirama Channavajjala	2166	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Allowed

 (1) Srirama Channavajjala.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Guojun Zhou, Regd No. 56,478.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 1 November 2006
**Time:** 3.30 PM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-21,25-39

Prior art documents discussed:

US Patent No. 6324631


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

A telephone was made to applicant's representative Attorney Guojun Zhou, Regd No. 56,478 about the potential amendment to the claims 1,5,12,14,16,18,19,25,29,36,38 and cancelling claims: 9,13,15,17,33,37,39, in order to allow the case. The representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization has given for an Examiner's Amendment.